from the very name, and the district unions have not been approved by the High Court; and as such, the rules framed by the Government of India have not been complied with."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—"May I ask whether the Government have ascertained from the High Court why recognition was not given to the various district unions?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. Reddi Nayudu:—"They themselves informed us that they were not recognized unions."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—"May I ask whether the Government have ascertained the reason why the High Court was not prepared to recognize these district unions?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. Reddi Nayudu:—"No, Sir."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—"Will the Government be pleased to ascertain the reasons, especially in view of the fact that recognition has been given to the Non-Gazetted Government Officers' Association and such other associations of Government servants?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. Reddi Nayudu:—"I think, Sir, the best thing would be for the members of the associations themselves to approach the High Court."

Representations for the reorganization of the service of Process Servers.

* 424-B *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder: Will the Hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether representations have been received by the Government for reorganizing the 'process-servers'' service as one of 'bailiffs'' from the Ninth Provincial Process-Servers' Conference, held at Srivaikuntam on 24th May 1934; and

(*b*) if so, what action has been taken thereon and what orders have been passed in the matter and whether a copy of the order will be placed on the table?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No action was taken thereon as the proposal involved additional expenditure for which money could not be found. The other part of the question does not arise.

Law and Order (General).

Alleged Hindu-Muslim tension at Kandukur.

* 424-C *Q.*—Mr. P. V. Krishnayya Choudari: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received any report about the recent Hindu-Muslim trouble at Kandukur in Nellore district, and, if so, what it is;

(*b*) whether the Government or the Collector have received any representations from the Hindus with regard to the same;

(*c*) whether the Government have made any enquiry with regard to the same, and if not, whether they will call for a report from the Collector; and

[2nd November 1935

(*d*) what action the Government have taken or propose to take in the matter?

A.—(*a*) Yes. A brief summary of it is given below:—

The relations between the Vysyas and the Muslims at Kandukur are very strained. In April this year Sri Rama Navami and Muharrum coincided. The trouble which arose then over Hindu music in the neighbourhood of mosques was averted by suitable measures. On the 18th September 1935, music close to a mosque caused the trouble to break out afresh. There was a clash between the two communities in which one Vysya was slightly injured. As a result of the clash, the Vysyas arranged to boycott Muslim jutkas and trades. Jutkas ply between Kandukur and Singarayakonda Railway station and are owned entirely by Muslims. The Muslims were badly hit by the boycott. The Hindus also turned out the Muslim tailors who were accustomed to ply their trade on the verandahs of Hindu houses. The Hindus fearing retaliation engaged watchmen to protect their houses. On the night of the 17th October 1935, the Hindus and the Muslims quarrelled and injured each other. Three Hindus and two Muslims are reported to have sustained minor injuries. This incident caused numbers of Hindus and Muslims to collect with sticks and prepare for a fight, but the opposing crowds were dispersed before they actually met.

(*b*) The Government have not received any representation from the Hindus. There is no information whether any was received by the Collector.

(*c*) & (*d*) The District Magistrate has already taken steps to prevent a recurrence of similar incidents. No action by the Government is called for.

Registration.

Levy of additional registration fee on lengthy documents.

* 424-D *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the maximum number of words that are to be contained in each document for registration is prescribed for registering without any extra charge;

(*b*) if so, whether a copy of the rule or order in the matter will be placed on the table; and

(*c*) on what principles such a rule or order was passed?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The Member of the Legislative Council presumably refers to the Notification No. 95, Law (Registration),